

5-10-06

How was Iraq, as a way of "preventing"  
SA's new program?

(before Paris)

on Al Franken, Feb...  
5-10-06

"Hitler's invasion of Russia was  
well-conceived, but poorly executed."

(Dems on Iraq)

Cuba-I (not new one)

Reaction to Katrina.

Pearl Harbor: perfectly executed,  
but a strat. disaster  
Poland, France, etc.

in Russia — well executed

fails in SEA, WestPac

(except too late in  
the year; no prep  
for winter, b/c  
Iraq, as prep. for  
maneuver)

Cf. from

Would an attack on Iraq have been "better"?

Yes: but not solution to his new program  
or his form: see Gulf War!

Would give up interpreters, which did stop him

No mid. solution that doesn't worse situation  
worse — that is less not worse than cur-  
ent program. (exp. w. interpreters, but in  
winter) or in an Iranian new

(# With new, 08c new)

Iran with has ties with AQ  
(now) nor could give any help to  
AQ or Hamas or Hezbollah.

(in 200, 8-9 months)

Worth to Amy Goodman, Aug. 14 - 06

This ~~st~~ <sup>st</sup>, USAF approached the Air Force

Army attention: to plan

3-for: start briefly continuing, due  
for plans for them

I don't think

2) Must take care of Key. missiles before  
attacking

3) Get rid of Nossalib, Key - a T group.

So we have done it anyway - but  
time

After Holt captured of Namas, SI shows  
coord encouraging NY to do bombing

"Next time Key made a move, So was  
going school - it was 10 days later.

So convinced it would be long - thanks of  
Holt.

One of AF options I know about was, is from  
Super-Shock + Avro - 36 hours - hit infra - people  
would care out, of soldiers and us,

"Oh my God, the mullahs have done this to us"

for (last year, in CW with + China  
they would wake up and install nuclear regime.

However, I always had believed that Christians + Soviets would rise up against  
Saddam Key.

SH

Seeing cultural

Don't know how much Bush was involved.

When one option was shelved, (late April)

He was surprised that Nas. moved so fast

For first time, Rumsy didn't seem to be on board -

"like McN" (f '67, he denied VN was  
unwinnable)

so R. was not happy with idea of protracted  
war

I don't think him + Syria control Nas. the way Wash  
thinks

SH has not represented a lot!

He's religious He's got alive eyes, and he's  
got humor.

Still off. encouraged SH to see him - for  
his views on Syria!

"You can't put the Arab to think about the  
down side on anything."

so. same in Lebanon.

Prof. of Syria has shown SH letters to Wash  
saying "We can help you on Syria - we don't  
want a Somalia in Syria

Second We learned more about A.Q. from Syria  
than from other country

"We went to see you in the war on  
terror

(H. wanted to talk to Syria about Taliban flights  
in 2003 - US discovered this - didn't

SH - "Nothing's going to happen before this election."

Bush: I know I'm right — I know people don't quite believe me — but like Carroll

Wh-

Ahern is my ultimate player — inform policy

SH

IC6 — ~~inevitably~~ worthy solution

Supporting Frei, <sup>SH</sup> ~~etc~~ dealing with Iran at one time or hostages and central war

total aim is to do, to <sup>total</sup> ~~go~~ go on to Iran,  
move to centras

crossing policy — when it amounted, it should have led to independent for Quebec, but he was known to be in long stages of Alphonse (1)

UN 1559 return to take control & decide Heg.

242 — impossible (Spain had to leave — half the army was Spanish, they could ~~not~~ control)

Al Franken:

8-3-06

What do I have to say beyond what's in  
Henderson's article? (which AF hasn't read).

1) I don't think the background to know that  
the ~~most~~ <sup>possible</sup> possibility is that SN's article is to be  
taken very seriously ... for the Admin  
planning, desire, motives  
and mil. preparations

AF to me: "Do you think there's a ~~possibility~~ of possibility of this day the  
first attack?"

Attack: very likely, and for us to stop  
it, but possible.

No attack: more possible to stop it;  
not less than  $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$  if we issue  
it; that low because of strong mil  
resistance (SN).

This is mil. resistance to  
attack — but there was to ~~stop~~, too,  
esp. to the attack (into the forces limited  
as they were) Rumsfeld actually planned;  
but that was ~~to~~ the ~~attack~~. In the end, they  
sabotaged and went ahead with a plan  
that ~~they~~ <sup>they</sup> ~~was~~ bound to fail, to pacify  
the country — and ~~they~~ <sup>the</sup> our right. It was then  
subsequently informed — to Congress + the  
public — that was wrong. We're caught  
in a trap, not ~~they~~ <sup>we</sup> though but the  
troops into it, to die and be maimed —  
as when Charge of the Light Brigade —

Ok and another Ferguson to celebrate it —

Charge of the Light Brigade — Oh what  
a sight to see! all the world  
wondered. Some had blundered — we  
know the arms — but the results aren't  
glorious. Light a candle, body armor,

little  
Big  
Non-  
Custer  
that's not of  
nobility

Agency, the right before did never come  
and rolled out of the jaws of death —  
they got the 600.

This Pres didn't wait for that order.

(2) The S & AV can say something that  
SH won't: <sup>some</sup> People with the same  
access and same missions as do some  
should take larger personnel with them  
in some, and go beyond doing joint  
discussions with SH at the White House.

If should put out docs — Pres + VP  
planning orders, assess initial lots of costs and  
dangers, entanglement of policy of the ICS —  
but as know, ~~especially~~ unfortunately, what  
mess with combat + operational experience  
and ME expertise — i.e. now ~~more~~ different  
from ~~as~~ on the WH of AD staff, of  
the Secretaries of ~~the~~ <sup>now</sup> Bush — are bly  
the WH.

SH says the circs won't listing, just  
as in ~~any~~ — Well, but as brother +  
maid — and Congress

Some, the on best looks: <sup>false</sup>  
listing ~~fallacy~~ TS costs, in which  
(<sup>when</sup> costs ~~known~~ as defined, costs with  
mention that they are known to be  
greater than what they were ever promised  
to the Pres ~~and~~ when or mention that he  
know them to be false when he knows  
them (not "declassified")

After Pers leaked, anyone else who released that our doc could still be found a pers for viewing a class doc.

Rele was still refusing a work site, as per it was still class, as it was.

Declass is a process that makes a doc available to everyone, with no further safe-guards.

(months passed out; that doesn't hinder to copies in minutes, or release any who don't have total of it authorizing.)

† can say: Here does, cont does — is sufficient granting

Has any went samer — except by <sup>Act</sup> Rele officials or supporters — Congress (shhly) — show <sup>deny</sup> trial just?

Has any one intended to mislead the public — or done so?

Has any jeopardized a legit., necessary agent activity?

Retribution?

After illegal, warrantless unitaps?

(An other "messy"? Why not charge FISA?)

FISA Court has acted virtually any agent unable to it for a unitap: 15,000 grants, 4 rejections, of which 2 were just briefly postponed.

There is a legal, legal process for hitting to AQ suspects. Obviously, Ami is doing something — with the illegal help of Govt + others — that they aren't

AT in the FISA Court would find to  
the unnecessary intrusion (of the pricing of  
non-experts!) of unconstitutional (in  
an emergency).

NYT argues clearly violated 798 - but  
in this case, they are clearly properly  
curious

WPo: Violation

like the intrusion it leads to,  
no evidence has been given that the  
disruption or ~~the~~ <sup>to torture</sup> itself was so necessary  
~~over~~ to an itself or imperil as to  
overrule its criminity.

(as still  
tops)

The plan to make the case is  
either in a separate Copies - to change  
the law or in a civil court,  
as a defence before a jury.

(after 9-11, no jury is going to be  
biased against your action, or even  
impartial to a defense of security -  
based on another, not your assertion  
(like too many judges appointed by Reagan,  
Bush - Bush 2) 8  
4  
2  
14 years

NYT

(Kang & Lee intvp. & affidavits have  
changed since 1971-73, esp. since 1985

(Morrison;  
Reagan)

(Hargan): even if no pros. or conviction - loss  
of charter, sub., as Russia

(Wilsonson)

Answers

I have reasons for not meeting...

Evil

answer?

wrong?

(Torture: like wounded visitors  
They are law agent...)

I have more reasons for them (which F  
still admits)  
It's our bad-work.

Should it be suspended or stopped,  
"after 9-11"?

Should we accept ~~Pro <sup>right</sup> ~~any~~ ~~case~~~~  
nearly not for prolonged period? NO

(Despotic behavior, despotic to accept  
it, despotic president  
not a despotic right of assassination)

|| most extreme form of tyranny, dictatorship,  
oppression, absolute power (Owulf)

arbitrary executive dictation

(capricious)

Torture (more than death)  
death

Koch: genocidal, slavery, embezzling,  
massacre  
(and more)

Kinder: confirms self for: new policy change  
DB more of right of our thinks  
(or less trends)

"There was no actual failure." (Well, a little...)  
Scott Ritter: Wall knew SH was long  
disarmed by mid-1995.

"There knows If knew there were no  
WMDs."

(Mazayev: do we CW could not be  
lethal after this period of time?)

Everest:

Torture; (cont'd...)

at Pres' belief (yoo) that he has inherent powers  
(Kod): if torture — what not? Nothing is abolished  
(Kod is dead — Bush is  
getting orders from no satellite)

(Banana)

is part of a pattern in Admin

Detention (vs. Art. 1, section 9, Habeas)  
Torture <sup>en masse</sup>

Aggression drog for

Airpower vs. Civs. Massacre

(New threats)

New War

NSA 'taps' — collection

Military surveillance (vs. Posse Comitatus)  
martial law

"There was no intel failure." (Well, a little...)  
Scott Ritter: World knew SH was badly  
designed f mid-1995.

~~There~~ ~~know~~ If knew there were no  
WMDs.

(Myanmar: Is the CW would not be  
lethal after this period of time?)

Everest:

Torture; (sent...)

at Pres' briefing (you) that he has imperial powers

(Rod): If torture — what not? Nothing is prohibited

Boiled to death:

Torture

(Rod is clear — Bush is

giving order for saturation?)

(Banana)

is part of a pattern in Admin

Detention (vs. Art-1, section 9, <sup>envelope</sup> Habeas)

Torture

Aggression drog her

Airpower vs. civ. massacre

(New threats)

New War

NSA 'taps' — collection

Military surveillance (vs. Posse Comitatus)

marital law